Company: Southern California Gas Company (U904G)

Proceeding: 2019 General Rate Case Application: A.17-10-007/-008 (cons.)

Exhibit: SCG-247

SOCALGAS

REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOSEPH VELASQUEZ (MOBILEHOME PARK UTILITY UPGRADE PROGRAM) JUNE 18, 2018

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA



1

6

7 8

10 11

9

13 14

12

15

16 17

18 19

20

SOCALGAS REBUTTAL TESTIMONY OF JOSEPH S. VELASQUEZ MOBILEHOME PARK UTILITY UPGRADE PROGRAM

I. **SUMMARY OF DIFFERENCES**

TOTAL O&M - Constant 2016 (\$000)			
	Costs Incurred Through 2016		
SOCALGAS	\$300		
ORA	\$300		

TOTAL CAPITAL - Constant 2016 (\$000)			
	Costs Incurred Through 2016		
SOCALGAS	\$15,500		
ORA	\$15,500		

II. INTRODUCTION

This rebuttal testimony regarding SoCalGas establishing the reasonableness of costs incurred for its Mobilehome Park Utility Upgrade Program (MHP Program) addresses the testimony of the Office of Ratepayer Advocates (ORA) as submitted by Mr. Lambert (Exhibit ORA-32), dated April 13, 2018.¹

As a preliminary matter, the absence of a response to any particular issue in this rebuttal testimony does not imply or constitute agreement by SoCalGas with the proposal or contention made by these or other parties.

In my direct testimony, I presented SoCalGas' costs for the MHP Program through 2016 for reasonableness review in this General Rate Case as directed in and in compliance with Decision (D.) No. 14-03-021. ORA was the only party that filed testimony regarding SoCalGas' showing of reasonableness of costs for its MHP Program. ORA's recommendation stated that ORA has reviewed SoCalGas' showing of reasonableness and does not object.³

¹ April 13, 2018, ORA Report on the Results of Operations for San Diego Gas and Electric company and Southern California Gas Company Test Year 2019 General Rate Case Mobilehome Park Utility Upgrade Program Exhibit – ORA 32 (Lambert).

² SoCalGas Direct Testimony of Joseph S. Velasquez (Mobilehome Park Utility Upgrade Program) Exhibit SCG-47.

³ ORA-32 (Lambert) at 1.

III. 1 REBUTTAL TO PARTIES' O&M PROPOSALS 2 A. **Disputed Cost** 1. 3 **ORA** 4 ORA does not take issue with SoCalGas's showing of reasonableness. 5 6 IV. REBUTTAL TO PARTIES' CAPITAL PROPOSALS 7 A. **Disputed Cost** 8 1. **ORA** 9 ORA does not take issue with SoCalGas's showing of reasonableness. 10 V. **CONCLUSION** 11 12 To summarize, no party other than ORA submitted testimony addressing SoCalGas' 13 Mobilehome Park Utility Upgrade Program. ORA did not contest SoCalGas' cost showing or 14 recommendations regarding the Master Meter Balancing Account (MMBA). SoCalGas 15 recommends the Commission find that SoCalGas' costs incurred in management of its 16 Mobilehome Park Utility Upgrade Program were reasonable, and that SoCalGas' recommended 17 disposition of the MMBA be adopted. 18

This concludes my prepared rebuttal testimony.

19